

Towards Energy-Efficient and Robust Humanoid Robots

Speaker: Linqi Ye March 31, 2021



Why Humanoid Robots



Factories and homes built for human use

- Narrow passageways
- Stairs and steps
- Debris

We must build humanoid robots because our world is designed for humans. We step through narrow spaces, we navigate around obstacles, we go up and down steps. Robots on wheels or tracks can't easily move around the spaces we've optimized for our own bodies.

Home assistant robots



Roméo (France)





Why Humanoid Robots



It helps us to understand ourselves!



It helps the disabled to walk again!

State of the Art

Honda and AIST, Japan

Boston Dynamics, US



Asimo

HRP-4



Petman



Atlas

Agility Robotics, US



Cassie



Digit

State of the Art



State of the Art

most robust

ZMP Foot placement/Capturability Yokoi et al. Kim et al. Hirai et al. Schaft Miura et al. Raibert et Pratt et al. Nelson et Oh 2013 2006 1998 2013 al. 1984 2003 1984 2012 al. 2012

HZD



Martin et al.

et al. 2004

Sreenath et al. 2011



Gregg et al. Buss et al. 2014 2014 2014



most energy-efficient

Energy Efficiency

cost of transport (CoT):

 $\frac{\text{energy used}}{\text{weight } \times \text{ distance traveled}}$



Toyota Prius COT = about 0.15 Human COT = about 0.2 Ranger COT = about 0.28 Asimo COT = about 2 Atlas COT = about 20



BLDC motors



Key Factors

• Hardware

Actuators, transmissions, electronics

Control Algorithm

Use the right muscles at right time



Gears



Electronics

Energy Efficiency

































Energy Efficiency



Energy Efficiency energy used $[F(t)\dot{l}]^+ dt/mgd$ cost of transport (CoT): weight × distance traveled AH а F(t)Point-mass body т (x,y)тg Andy Ruina Telescoping Leg Walk-to-run actuator Cornell University during Body transition in humans stance Swing during y, I(t)2.0 Non-dimensional step length *D*= *d*//_{max} Stance flight lea Hybrid gait: during leg pendular run stance F(t)1.5 d Pendular run **b** Pendular walk c Impulsive run Impulsive Push-off 1.0 Heel-strike running Leg length L Push-off Heel-strike <u></u>‡e₂ <u></u>‡ e₂ e_2 Inverted pendulum 0.5 walking t_{step} t t_{step} t r_{step} Leg force \bar{F} Inverted Inverted Bounce Flight pendulum pendulum Flight

F ĨĒ_{_max} 0 Figure 2 | Point-mass biped model and its optimal solutions.

Ē'. max

Figure 3 | The regions in which each of the three collisional gaits are optimal.

Non-dimensional speed $V = v/\sqrt{(gl_{max})}$

0.5

1.0

1.5

Srinivasan, Manoj, and Andy Ruina. "Computer optimization of a minimal biped model discovers walking 10 and running." Nature 439.7072 (2006): 72-75.

0

Humanoid Robots in Cornell University









Passive Walker 1996-2000

Four legged passive "biped" with knees. Walks downhill. Passive Walker with Knees 1999-2001

Two legs and knees. The most advanced passivedynamic robot to date. Walks downhill. Powered Biped with Knees 2003-2005

Anklepowered,minimallycontrolled.Walks on level ground.

Cornell Ranger 2001-2012

Powered, 4-leg "biped", no knees. Walks on level ground. Radiocontrol steering by twisting inner legs. Cornell Tik-Tok 2012-now

Goal: Efficient, robust, and nimble legged robot. Cost of Transport in simulation ≈ 0.25 . 12 actuated joints. Brushless DC motors. Chain Drives.

Passive Walking





Gliders+Engines→Airplanes Passive walkers+Actuators→Human-level robot

Fig. 1. "Ramp-walking," "downhill," "unpowered," or "passive-dynamic" machines. Our powered bipeds are based on these passive designs. (A) The Wilson "Walkie" (27). (B) MIT's improved version (28). Both (A) and (B) walk down a slight ramp with the "comical, awkward, waddling gait of the penguin" (27). (C) Cornell copy (29) of McGeer's capstone design (7). This fourlegged "biped" has two pairs of legs, an inner and outer pair, to pre-



vent falling sideways. (D) The Cornell passive biped with arms [photo: H. Morgan]. This walker has knees and arms and is perhaps the most humanlike passive-dynamic walker to date (8).

Fig. 2. Three levelground powered walking robots based on the ramp-walking designs of Fig. 1. (A) The Cornell biped. (B) The Delft biped. (C) The MIT learning biped. These powered robots have motions close to those of their ramp-walking counterparts as seen in the supporting online movies (movies S1 to S3). Information on their construction is in the supporting online text (9).



Collins, S., Ruina, A., Tedrake, R., & Wisse, M. (2005). Efficient bipedal robots based on passive-dynamic walkers. *Science*, *307*(5712), 1082-1085.

Passive Walking













The Most Energy-efficient Biped

Ranger walks non-stop 65.2 km ultra-Marathon on May 1-2, 2011

a) Robot





Bhounsule, P. A., Cortell, J., Grewal, A., Hendriksen, B., Karssen, J. D., Paul, C., & Ruina, A. (2014). Low-bandwidth reflex-based control for lower power walking: 65 km on a single battery charge. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, *33*(10), 1305-1321.





The Most Energy-efficient Biped

Total steps	186,076	
Total time	30 hrs 49 min 02 seconds	
Total distance	65.24 km	
Average speed	0.59 m/s	
Cost of transport (COT)	0.28, COT = Energy/(weight * distance). Includes energy to run the motors and all electronics	
Total Robot mass	9.91 kg	
Power	16.0 watts total, less than a laptop computer.	
Battery	25.9V Lithium-ion, 2.8 kg, 493 watt-hours	
Comparisons	Toyota Prius COT = about 0.15 Human COT = about 0.2 (a bit better than Ranger) Asimo COT = about 2 (54 kg@ 1.5 m/s, 1.8 kW) Atlas COT = about 20 (12.8 miles, 4 gal gas, 110 kg)	

Cornell Ranger, 2011 4-legged bipedal robot

Robust Balance



Robust Balance

Balance strategies for a biped:

- 1. Apply ankle torques. Base of support diameter up to 0.2 m
- Bend the upper body/spin arms.
 Effective base of support up to 0.2 m
- 3. Foot placement. Effective base of support up to 1 m

Therefore robust balance mainly depends on fast leg swing.

How quickly should the legs be able to swing?

- Fastest human leg swing time is about 0.2 seconds for 1 radian
- Boston Dynamic BigDog and Atlas swing times are about 0.3 seconds.

How to make legs swing fast?

- High joint actuator torque and speed (high power)
- Small leg angular inertia



It's better to take a step!

 \approx

m

The Most Robust Biped



Marc Raibert Boston Dynamics







Foot Placement Control



Figure 2.11. Asymmetric trajectories. Displacement of the foot from the neutral position accelerates the body by skewing its trajectory. When the foot is placed behind the neutral point, the body accelerates forward during stance (left). When the foot is place forward of the neutral point, the body accelerates backward during stance (right). Dashed lines indicate the path of the body, and solid horizontal lines under each figure indicate the CG-print.

Three-part control





Foot Placement Control



Figure 2. Diagram of active balance control. The next step location is determined by a linear function of the body velocity.



Figure 4. Stability condition of ABC. The blue area represents the parameter space that leads to stable walking, where the capture-point parameter $b = T_c$ (the red line) is a special case in this area.

Push Recovery external force, ball collision

The Most Robust Biped



Jonathan Hurst Agility Robotics







Robust Walking Controller

Simple Controller: 2-step lookahead





Reinforcement Learning



There's a lot of testing.



The Way to Achieve Human-level

The goals

Walking performance equivalent to a typical human. The robot should be capable of moving in homes, offices, and out on the streets, including curbs and stairs, without falling.

Think of it as a Segway with legs!

- A) Robust balance. Almost never falls.
- B) Can sit and stand.
- C) Can climb (some) stairs.
- D) Energy-efficient, like a human. All day on one charge!
- E) Resistant to fall damage, if it does fall.
- F) Safe enough to work around humans.
- G) Also helpful: not too expensive.

How to get there?

- The refinement of hardware that is powerful enough to reliably recover from large disturbances, yet energyeffective and inexpensive;
- The development of theories of balance and optimization methods for low energy use.

Tik-Tok: A Human-level Robot





Design goals

- Suitable for reliable locomotion in environments designed for humans.
- Low energy with CoT \approx 0.25 (better than all other robot bipeds).
- Robust balance, based on high-speed, high-accuracy foot placement for balance correction. Should match the robustness of other successful walking robots (Petman, New ATLAS, Cassie).
- Leg swing time for foot placement, 1 radian in < 0.25s (\approx human).
- Squat, sit down, stand up, climb steps and curbs.
- Jog, dance, skip, hop, etc. (optional, but the physical capability will likely follow from the other requirements).

General Details

- 1.5 m tall (full robot, as at left)
- 30 kg mass.
- 0.8 m leg length (below, left).
- 12 actuated joints: 4 arm, 4 hip , 2 knee, 2 ankle.

Hardware Optimization

We would like to optimize the hardware for efficient walking, but how – with no finished design, no optimized trajectories? Solution: we put the robot's actuators through a human gait trajectory, using Winter's joint kinematics and moments measured from a walking human ("Biomechanics and Motor Control of Human Movement, 2009), but with the moments scaled to the weight of the robot. This helped us select suitable motors, gear ratios, and spring constants.

Parameter	Optimized for efficient	Overall design choice
	walking	
Leg swing gear ratio	51:1	51:1
Knee gear ratio	31:1	51:1
Ankle gear ratio	60:1	62:1
Ankle/knee	8.7:1	4.3:1
"biarticulation" ratio		
COT (motor electrical)	0.20	0.21









Notable design features:

Chain drive transmission (with a few planetary gearboxes too). The chain drives give us:

- + High power to weight ratio
- + Efficient even at low loads
- + Resistance to dirt and misalignment
- + Flexible configuration
- + Low-cost custom components
- ... and on the negative side
- Not very modular
- Backlash is a challenge
- Bulky up to 36 chains and 72 sprockets in all!

High-power brushless motors with water cooling capability.

Motor selection is key to the performance of the robot. We want motors that are:

- 1) Light weight
- 2) Small in size
- 3) Highly efficient at low power levels (for normal locomotion)
- 4) Minimal rotor inertia, to allow quick reactions to external torque.
- 5) Huge power outputs for their size and weight (for emergency balance maneuvers, climbing steps, etc.)







Conclusions

- Human-level robots require good hardware design as well as robust control and careful optimization.
- Tik-Tok might be the first humanoid robot to achieve human-level in both agility and energy efficiency

Tik-Tok is expected to meet the following design goals:

- Under 30 kg



- 200 N m peak joint torque for the knee and hip swing actuators the robot should not only have excellent foot placement speed for balance, but should be able to jump quite high!
- With water cooling implemented, Tik-Tok could run or climb stairs continuously.
 Without, it could do this intermittently a short burst of speed or single flight of stairs.
- Could be the first robot to finish a marathon alongside human runners. (Tik-Tok would be walking or jogging it is not optimized for winning such a race!)





The End

Thank you!

28

18/18